



STATE OF MAINE  
BOARD OF LICENSURE IN MEDICINE  
137 STATE HOUSE STATION  
AUGUSTA, MAINE  
04333-0137

ANGUS S. KING, JR.  
GOVERNOR

RANDAL C. MANNING  
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

August 27, 1996

**CERTIFIED MAIL  
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED**

M. Ramsey Bottros, M.D.  
Long Pond Park Associates  
1081 Long Pond Road  
Rochester, N.Y. 14626

**FILE COPY**

RE: Notice of Preliminary Denial of Applications to Renew your Maine Medical License

Dear Dr. Bottros:

This is to officially notice you that the Maine Board of Licensure in Medicine has taken action on your requests to renew your Maine medical license.

At its meeting on August 14, 1996, the Board voted unanimously to preliminarily deny your requests for renewal subject to a request from you for an Adjudicatory Hearing by the Board of the facts.

The basis for this denial is evidence of fraud or deceit in obtaining a license pursuant to 32 MRSA Section 3282-A(2)(A). On your applications for renewal, the Board has reason to believe you falsely answered the question as to whether or not you had ever been disciplined by another state licensing board. By answering "no", you did not report to Maine the action taken against you by New York State.

You may, within 30 days of the delivery of this notice to you or your agent, request an Adjudicatory Hearing and it will be scheduled at the earliest possible date permitted by the Board's calendar and consistent with your right to be prepared and represented by counsel.

Should you, or your attorney acting for you, fail to request an Adjudicatory Hearing by letter to the Board at the above address within 30 days of your receipt of this notice, the Board's denial of your application for license renewal will become final without further notice or action by the Board.

An Adjudicatory Hearing, should you request one, shall be held pursuant to the Maine Administrative Procedures Act. You will have the right to testify under oath, call witnesses, cross examine witnesses against you and enter documents into evidence. The Adjudicatory Hearing will be held in public session of the Board.

Very truly yours,

William C. McPeck  
Assistant Executive Director

WCM:acm

cc: Ruth McNiff, Esq., AAG  
Judy M. Norman, Esq.

Z 264 810 694



Receipt for Certified Mail

No Insurance Coverage Provided  
Do not use for International Mail  
(See Reverse)

PS Form 3800, March 1993

To: <i>M. Ramsey Bottros, MD</i>	
City and State: <i>Long Pond Park Assoc.</i>	
Post Office and ZIP Code: <i>1081 Long Pond Rd 14626</i>	
<i>Rochester NY</i>	
Postage	<i>\$.32</i>
Certified Fee	<i>2.20</i>
Special Delivery Fee	
Restricted Delivery Fee	
Return Receipt Showing to Whom & Date Delivered	
Return Receipt Showing to Whom, Date, and Addressee's Address	
TOTAL Postage & Fees	<i>\$ 2.52</i>
Postmark or Date  <i>8-28-96</i>	

Is your RETURN ADDRESS completed on the reverse side?

SENDER:

- Complete items 1 and/or 2 for additional services.
- Complete items 3, and 4a & b.
- Print your name and address on the reverse of this form so that we can return this card to you.
- Attach this form to the front of the mailpiece, or on the back if space does not permit.
- Write "Return Receipt Requested" on the mailpiece below the article number.
- The Return Receipt will show to whom the article was delivered and the date delivered.

I also wish to receive the following services (for an extra fee):

- Addressee's Address
- Restricted Delivery

Consult postmaster for fee.

3. Article Addressed to:  <i>M. Ramsey Bottros, M.D. Long Pond Park Associates 1081 Long Pond Road Rochester, N.Y. 14626</i>	4a. Article Number <i>Z 264 810 694</i>
6. Signature (Addressee) 	4b. Service Type <input type="checkbox"/> Registered <input type="checkbox"/> Insured <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certified <input type="checkbox"/> COD <input type="checkbox"/> Express Mail <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Return Receipt for Merchandise
	7. Date of Delivery <i>8-30</i>
6. Signature (Agent)	8. Addressee's Address (Only if requested and fee is paid)  <i>ACM</i>

Thank you for using Return Receipt Service.

physician's competency and professional conduct. The motion was seconded by Dr. Bray and passed unanimously.

C. CR 96-70

MOTION was made by Dr. Jacobsohn that after careful review of a 2505 Report received from another state board, the Board recommends filing the report with no action necessary. This matter was a report alleging that a person's license should be in jeopardy for writing and representing an opinion on the care of patients.

D. CR 96-75

MOTION was made by Dr. Jacobsohn that, after reviewing a Department of Human Services referral, the Board finds the case should be filed with no action. The report alleged incompetency in diagnosis, however, in review of all the materials the Board finds the case was handled in a medically acceptable manner and that no harm befell the patient even though it required two visits to make a final diagnosis. The motion was seconded by Dr. Bennert and passed unanimously.

E. CR 94-62 - WILLIAM NEWKIRK, M.D.

In the matter of a request for reconsideration and the withdrawal of the request for reconsideration of CR 94-62 the Board accepts the complainants position and no further action is necessary.

VII. PROGRESS REPORTS.

A. CR - 92-101 - MOUROD R. BOUTROS, M.D.

MOTION was made by Dr. Bray not to renew the license of Mouroud R. Boutros, M.D. for nondisclosure of a previous disciplinary action. The motion was seconded by Dr. Bennert and passed unanimously.

B. CR - 95-141 - GRETL HOCH, M.D.

MOTION was made by Dr. Bennert to dismiss, for lack of merit, the complaint of Paula Varney, R.N., Administrator at Strong Nursing Home against Dr. Grethl Hoch. To send a letter of guidance to Dr. Hoch and to Paula Varney, the Administrator at Strong Nursing Home, to recognize there is a process of evolution in human action and perhaps there was a little impatience on the part of those involved in this case. The motion was seconded by Dr. Jacobsohn and passed unanimously.

C. CR 95-78

MOTION was made by Mr. Jones to order a 3286 evaluation, which may be done in Wisconsin to accommodate the physician, in the matter of CR 95-78. Investigation shows there have been multiple complaints of interpersonal contact problems with this individual which the Board feels needs psychiatric clarification. The motion was seconded by Dr. Bray and passed unanimously.